UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,765	11/18/2003	Robert E. Sinclair II	304557.01	5254
	7590 02/18/201 CORPORATION	EXAMINER		
ONE MICROS	OFT WAY	SAINT CYR, LEONARD		
REDMOND, W	/A 98052-6399		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ntovar@microsoft.com p5docket@microsoft.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/715,765	SINCLAIR, ROBER	T E.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
LEONARD SAINT CYR	2626		

L	EONARD SAINT CYR	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:	olies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth i r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extenunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount or rtened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consist (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	deration and/or search (see NOT ;	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a col NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	responding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow 			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 24, 26, 32, 45, 46, and 48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but described on the Grunwald et al., disclose "that in order to minimize the time provide for application and/or user dependent presets, whim minimizing the time for setting up and configuring the system suggests configuration options to not be settable by the user that the system is the system of the system is the system of the system	e for setting up and configuring the ch are optimized based on severa em the user interface, which are c	e system the user inte al factors (e.g., user b ptimized based on us	erface may ehavior)" [er behavior
the user; paragraph 22, lines 1 – 5] 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P	-	seeming the confingu	oriono by
13. Other:	. <i>0.05,00,</i> 1 apo. 110(0)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Richemond Dorvil/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100131

Application No.